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Abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) are common enlargements of the abdominal aorta which can grow larger until rupture, often leading to death. Detection of AAA is often by ultrasonography and screening recommendations are mostly directed at men over 65 with a smoking history. Recent large-scale genome-wide association studies have identified genetic loci associated with AAA risk. We combined known risk factors, polygenic risk scores (PRS) and precedent clinical diagnoses from electronic health records (EHR) to develop predictive models for AAA, and compared performance against screening recommendations. The PRS included genome-wide summary statistics from the Million Veteran Program and FinnGen (10,467 cases, 378,713 controls of European ancestry), with optimization in Vanderbilt’s BioVU and validated in the eMERGE Network, separately across both White and Black participants. Candidate diagnoses were identified through a temporally-oriented
Phenome-wide association study in independent EHR data from Vanderbilt, and features were selected via elastic net. We calculated C-statistics in eMERGE for models including PRS, phecodes, and covariates using regression weights from BioVU. The AUC for the full model in the test set was 0.883 (95% CI 0.873-0.892), 0.844 (0.836-0.851) for covariates only, 0.613 (95% CI 0.604-0.622) when using primary USPSTF screening criteria, and 0.632 (95% CI 0.623-0.642) using primary and secondary criteria. Brier scores were between 0.003 and 0.023 for our models indicating good calibration, and net reclassification improvement over combined primary and secondary USPSTF criteria was 0.36-0.60. We provide PRS for AAA which are strongly associated with AAA risk and add to predictive model performance. These models substantially improve identification of people at risk of a AAA diagnosis compared with existing guidelines, with evidence of potential applicability in minority populations.
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1. Introduction

Abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) is a common and life-threatening condition in which enlargement of the abdominal aorta can lead to a deadly rupture. Rupture is associated with a mortality rate as high as 81%, including mortality of over 50% even among individuals that rupture in a hospital setting. Current estimates suggest that approximately 4% of the US population over 65 has an AAA, and 41,000 deaths a year are attributed to AAA complications. Based on AHA 2019 Heart Disease and Stroke statistics, the prevalence of AAA ranges from 1.3% in males 45-54 years old to 12.5% in males 75-84 years old. For females, the prevalence ranges from 0% in the youngest to 5.2% in the oldest age groups.

Common risk factors for AAA risk are race, age, sex, smoking behavior, atherosclerosis, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia. A family history of AAA is associated with an adjusted OR of 2.17. Factors associated with aorta diameter from Mendelian randomization studies include pulse pressure, triglycerides, and height. An estimate of SNP-based heritability for AAA is not available, however, heritability of AAA is estimated to be as high as 70%. Multiple genome-wide association studies have been conducted and have detected 24 distinct loci. These observations provide a basis for including genetic information in prediction of future AAA events.

There are no currently available pharmacological therapies for prevention or treatment of AAA. When discovered, AAA cases are monitored using periodic ultrasounds, where the goal is to observe AAA expansion until the risk of rupture is deemed to be larger than the risks posed by surgical repair, which for many patients is when the diameter reaches 5.5 cm. AAA cases are most often either discovered incidentally by abdominal imaging for some other indication, or by screening programs that target specific high-risk groups.

Current US Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) guidelines focus on screening men between 65 and 75 years of age with a history of smoking. In a recent large retrospective study of almost 291,850 AAA hospitalizations, 23% were women, and over 60% were not between 65 and 75 years of age. USPSTF recommendations are not derived from statistical models and may underserve understudied groups or individuals who are at unusually high risk for their demographic category due to an accumulation of known and unknown risk factors.
Strong racial disparities have been observed in prevalence, risk, and response to surgical treatments in AAA patients. These important and poorly understood aspects of AAA epidemiology are often neglected in screening guidelines. Because effective AAA management depends on detection, this opportunity for improving the screening strategy has the potential to save lives, many of whom are in underserved groups. In this paper, we leverage prior GWAS of AAA and electronic health records (EHR) linked to genetic information to develop predictive models that outperform the USPSTF guidelines in identifying high-risk individuals and evaluating the performance of polygenic predictors in multiple ancestral groups.

2. Methods

2.1. Synthetic Derivative

The Synthetic Derivative (SD) is a deidentified mirror of EHR at Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) with records for >3 million patients dating to January 1990 and updated regularly.

2.2. BioVU

The BioVU DNA Repository is a subset of the SD at VUMC with linkage to individuals’ DNA samples. A detailed description of the database and how it is maintained has been published elsewhere. BioVU participant DNA samples were genotyped on a custom Illumina Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array (MEGA-ex; Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Quality control included excluding samples or variants with missingness rates above 2%. Samples were also excluded if consent had been revoked, sample was duplicated, or failed sex concordance checks. Imputation was performed on the Michigan Imputation Server (MIS) v1.2.424 using Minimac4 and the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) panel v1.125. AAA cases were identified using phecodes: 2 or more instances of an International Classification of Diseases (ICD) version 9 or 10 diagnostic code for AAA, while controls were those without any ICD codes for AAA or phecodes in range 440-449.9 (Diseases of Arteries, Arterioles, and Capillaries). Individuals with one AAA ICD code were excluded. Smoking status was defined using ICD codes.

2.3. eMERGE

The eMERGE Network is a consortium of several EHR-linked biorepositories formed with the goal of developing approaches for the use of the EHR in genomic research. Consortium membership has evolved over eMERGE’s 11-year history, with many sites contributing data: Group Health/University of Washington, Marshfield Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Northwestern University, Vanderbilt University, Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP), Boston Children’s Hospital (BCH), Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC), Geisinger Health System, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Harvard University and Columbia University. The eMERGE study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each site and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Participants at all sites provided written informed consent. AAA cases and controls were defined as in BioVU.
2.4. Genome-wide Summary Statistics
We combined genome-wide summary statistics for AAA from the Million Veteran Program\(^1\) and FinnGen\(^3\) for a total of 10,467 cases and 378,713 controls of European ancestry) using fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis implemented in METAL\(^3\).

2.5. Polygenic Score Development
PRSs were constructed using PRS-CS\(^3\) software and PLINK\(^2\), followed by p-value thresholding (range: \(p=1 \times 10^{-8}\)) as in Ref\(^3\). Optimal p-value thresholds were 1.0 in Whites and \(p<5\times 10^{-3}\) in Blacks, as determined by maximal variance explained in BioVU (0.76% and 0.59%, respectively).

2.6. Identification of phecode risk factors
We extracted all diagnostic codes from individuals in the SD who were not part of the BioVU MEGA genotyped set who classified as either a case or control for AAA status. Codes for AAA cases were censored following the earliest AAA diagnosis code – i.e. all diagnoses post-AAA were removed, in order to capture only those diagnoses which preceded AAA diagnosis and represent potential risk factors for subsequent diagnosis of AAA. We performed a phenome-wide association study\(^3\) (PheWAS) on this temporally-censored dataset with AAA as the outcome with each phecode status used as predictor, adjusted for age and sex, stratified by self-reported race/ethnicity. Bonferroni correction was used to set significance thresholds to identify significant phecodes.

2.7. Selection of independent components with elastic nets
We used elastic net models with 10-fold cross validation in BioVU to estimate feature weights, implemented in the glmnet R package\(^3\) for selection of candidate risk features derived from the temporal PheWAS in the SD. Among the variables considered were 196 candidate phecodes (significant in at least one temporal PheWAS), age, sex, BMI, smoking status, race, and ethnicity. Individuals missing status (with only one AAA ICD code or an exclusion code) were classified with controls (using probit linkages) in a case-cohort design to allow simultaneous modeling of phecodes.

2.8. Predictive models
Prediction of AAA diagnoses in eMERGE data used logistic regression implemented in R, and evaluated area under the receiver operator curve (pROC package), net reclassification index (nrcens package), and Brier scores. Phecodes selected from the elastic net were included alongside age, sex, BMI, smoking status, polygenic scores, and principal components of ancestry.

3. Results
3.1. Polygenic risk score development, performance, and association with AAA
We performed meta-analysis of MVP and FinnGen summary statistics for AAA using a fixed-effects inverse-variance weighted method in METAL. Polygenic scores were constructed using PRS-CS to generate weights, followed by p-value thresholding. The optimal p-value threshold was 1.0 in non-Hispanic Whites (NHW), while the optimal threshold in non-Hispanic Blacks (NHB) was \(p<5\times 10^{-3}\) as determined by maximal variance explained in BioVU (0.76% and 0.59%, respectively; Table 1); at these thresholds, the PRSs contained 1,118,966 and 12,314 SNPs, respectively.
Table 1. Variance explained across PRS p-value thresholds in BioVU Non-Hispanic Whites and Blacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>5.0E-02</th>
<th>5.0E-03</th>
<th>5.0E-04</th>
<th>5.0E-05</th>
<th>5.0E-06</th>
<th>5.0E-07</th>
<th>5.0E-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We observed increasing odds of AAA in eMERGE by PRS of both scores when modeled adjusting for age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and 10 principal components (Figure 1). In NHW, the scores were both significant (p-value = <2e-16) and each explained 0.014% of the variance, while in NHB only the p=5e-3 score (PRS-B) was significant (p-value = 0.0028). When modeled as deciles, associations trended toward higher odds ratios at higher deciles for both PRS in NHW, but more consistently in NHB with the p=5e-3 PRS (Figure 1). The 95th and higher percentile vs. the rest odds ratios were 2.45 (95% Confidence Interval [CI]: 2.09-2.88; p-value =2e16) and 2.11 (95% CI 0.84-5.31; p-value = 0.11) for NHW and NHB subsets, respectively, for the p=1 score (Table 2).

For the p=5x10⁻³ PRS, the odds ratios were 2.2 (95% CI: 1.87-2.59; p-value =2e16) and 3.34 (95% CI 1.49-7.47; p-value = 0.003) for NHW and NHB subsets, respectively.

Table 2. Association between AAA PRS and AAA outcome in eMERGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>CASES / CONTROLS</th>
<th>P=1 PRS (OR 95% CI)</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
<th>P=5E-3 PRS-B (OR 95% CI)</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>2,165 / 42,843</td>
<td>2.45 (2.09-2.88)</td>
<td>&lt;2e16</td>
<td>2.20 (1.87-2.59)</td>
<td>&lt;2e16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>42 / 4,492</td>
<td>2.11 (0.84-5.31)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.34 (1.49-7.47)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each PRS modeled as top 5% of distribution compared to remainder. Covariates included age, sex, BMI and 10 principal components of ancestry.

3.2. Identification of phecode diagnosis risk factors

In order to identify risk-associated diagnoses which precede AAA diagnosis/events, we performed a temporally-censored PheWAS. Within the Vanderbilt Synthetic Derivative dataset, we censored any diagnosis codes occurring after an ICD code for AAA, and performed a PheWAS using AAA
as the outcome and each phecode as the predictor. Atherosclerosis phecodes were broadly significant, while Kawasaki disease was significant only in NHB individuals. In total, 192 phecodes were significant in analyses of NHW, 10 in NHB, 3 in Hispanic and none in non-Hispanic Asian (NHA) (Table 3). In total, 196 phecodes were significantly associated in at least one analysis. All significant phecodes were included as components in the elastic net.

Table 3: Feature-identifying PheWAS in Vanderbilt Synthetic Derivative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>CASES</th>
<th>CONTROLS</th>
<th>PHECODES ANALYZED</th>
<th>SIGNIFICANT PHECODES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>4,416</td>
<td>1,202,332</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>166,170</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23,490</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47,003</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of 202 variables (196 Phecodes) included in the elastic net, 87 were retained in the model- four a priori variables (smoking status, median BMI, age, and gender), and 83 Phecode diagnoses. 67 of 87 features were negatively associated, that is, diagnosis of a preceding Phecode was associated with a reduced risk of AAA diagnosis. Chromosomal abnormalities and genetic disorders diagnoses (phecode 758) had the largest weighting in the elastic net model, despite being generally uncommon in the population studied (0.04%). Evaluation of the 83 phecodes indicated several hierarchical codes which were collapsed to select independent features, resulting in a final set of 68 phecodes.

3.3. Predictive models

We validated our AAA risk prediction models developed in BioVU using external data to evaluate its discrimination and calibration. We benchmarked our models to the performance of the USPTF screening criteria. A sparse model containing age, sex, BMI, smoking status and principal components of ancestry performed substantially better than USPTF screening criteria, with AUCs over 0.8 in all three groups compared to AUCs ranging from 0.55-0.63 for USPTF primary and secondary criteria (Table 4, Figure 2). The AUCs when including PRS and covariates were 0.846.

Table 4: AUC (CI) for predictive models fit in BioVU and applied to eMERGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>NHW</th>
<th>NHB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USPTF-B</td>
<td>0.613 (0.604-0.622)</td>
<td>0.614 (0.605-0.623)</td>
<td>0.545 (0.504-0.586)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USPTF-C</td>
<td>0.632 (0.623-0.642)</td>
<td>0.632 (0.622-0.642)</td>
<td>0.594 (0.539-0.650)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV</td>
<td>0.844 (0.836-0.851)</td>
<td>0.838 (0.830-0.845)</td>
<td>0.819 (0.765-0.873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE</td>
<td>0.859 (0.849-0.870)</td>
<td>0.853 (0.842-0.864)</td>
<td>0.807 (0.732-0.883)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE+COV</td>
<td>0.883 (0.874-0.893)</td>
<td>0.877 (0.868-0.887)</td>
<td>0.758 (0.659-0.857)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>0.494 (0.484-0.505)</td>
<td>0.598 (0.586-0.610)</td>
<td>0.531 (0.448-0.613)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS+COV</td>
<td>0.836 (0.829-0.844)</td>
<td>0.846 (0.838-0.854)</td>
<td>0.820 (0.766-0.874)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL</td>
<td>0.883 (0.874-0.893)</td>
<td>0.877 (0.868-0.887)</td>
<td>0.758 (0.659-0.857)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS-B</td>
<td>0.533 (0.522-0.544)</td>
<td>0.601 (0.589-0.613)</td>
<td>0.580 (0.498-0.662)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS-B+COV</td>
<td>0.846 (0.839-0.854)</td>
<td>0.846 (0.838-0.853)</td>
<td>0.830 (0.776-0.874)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL-B</td>
<td>0.883 (0.873-0.892)</td>
<td>0.880 (0.870-0.890)</td>
<td>0.758 (0.659-0.857)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRS: Best performing PRS overall; PRS-B/FULL-B: models including p<5e-3 optimal PRS in NHB.
Adding phecode predictors to the models improved AUCs further: 0.883 (0.873-0.892), 0.880 (0.870-0.890) in the entire data and NHW set, respectively, but not in NHB (AUC = 0.758 (0.659-0.857).

We evaluated model reclassification and calibration using net reclassification indices (NRI) and Brier scores, respectively. Generally, although model calibration was very good for the full models (0.003-0.023; Table 5), inclusion of both PRS and phecode predictors to models using covariates had a moderate impact on reclassification indices (0.23) in combined datasets, with larger impacts in NHB (Table 6). The NRIs from these data compared to USPTF guidelines is striking, with covariates alone having an NRI of 0.20-0.37, and full models 0.46-0.83.

Table 5. Brier scores for various models in eMERGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>ALL</th>
<th>NHW</th>
<th>NHB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FULL</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL-B</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

We have integrated a variety of data types to construct models for predicting AAA diagnoses across multiple EHR systems. Our polygenic scores for AAA, despite being developed using only European-ancestry genetic data, associated with AAA in NHB as well as NHW, and are being made available through the polygenic score catalog (pgscatalog.org). Addition of the PRS in the entire eMERGE dataset had a small negative effect on the model (∆AUC = -0.008), however the model improved in the NHW and NHB strata separately, as did all PRS-B models.

Our study suggests an enhanced disease screening program of asymptomatic individuals who would otherwise be considered lower risk by USPTF guidelines would substantially improve AAA detection in the US population. Even covariates alone perform substantially better than the USPTF guidelines, similar to what has been shown in a recent UK Biobank study with a simple predictive...
Table 6. NRI for predictive models in eMERGE compared with USPSTF screening criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODELS</th>
<th>EMERGE</th>
<th>EMERGE NHW</th>
<th>EMERGE NHB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USPTF C : B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV : USPTF B</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV : USPTF C</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS+COV : COV</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS-B+COV : COV</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL : COV</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFULL : COV</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL : USPTF B</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL : USPTF C</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFULL : USPTF B</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFULL : USPTF C</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRS: Best performing PRS overall, PRS-B/FULL-B: models including p<5e-3 PRS (optimal in NHB).

Our study is most limited by sample counts for most diverse racial/ethnic groups being too small to include as separate strata. This is concerning due to racial/ethnic differences in screening prevalence but also in clinical presentation, treatment, and mortality following surgical repair\textsuperscript{19, 31, 32, 53}. We were able to include NHB individuals in all phases of this analysis, and confirmed that performance of USPTF criteria is lower in this group\textsuperscript{9, 43, 51}, but that clinically meaningful prediction (AUC>0.8) were attainable using either basic covariates or medical diagnoses.
Our results in eMERGE NHB participants incorporating phecodes suggested that despite use of cross-validation, our models from BioVU were likely overfit due to sparseness of NHB participants relative to the number of terms estimated. Larger numbers of NHB participants would facilitate improved models, however, we observed good discriminative performance compared with USPTF.

Predictive models including a PRS optimized in NHB individuals resulted in models that performed nearly equally as well in NHW but provided modest improvements in NHB. This is unusual for genetic studies based solely on European-ancestry participants but suggests that risk variants may persist across diverse populations, making prediction of events easier. Although the PRS alone was little better than chance at predicting AAA diagnosis, including covariates was sufficient to yield clinical utility. Future work evaluating scalability and incorporating sex-stratified estimates into models will enhance quality of prediction and clinical implementation.

In summary, we provide predictive models and polygenic scores for AAA which strongly associated with and predict AAA risk in multiple populations. These models substantially improve identification of people at risk of a AAA diagnosis compared with existing guidelines.
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